# DEPARTMENT OF STATE BUREAU OF INTELLIGENCE AND RESEARCH

U. I

Research Memorandum RSB-176, November 6, 1962

TO The Secretary

2000

S/S TOR - Roger Hilsman

. (Ron

SUPPLY Amplications of the Cuban Crisis for the Soviet Internal Scene

real intelligence as to the effect of the Cuban crisis is societies and the following speculative consideration of various consideration consideration of various consideration consideration

#### Herina Shaken

Soviet leadership was undoubtedly shaken by the Cuban crisis but the control of which its stability was affected is not clear. There is as yet no concerto suggest that Khrushchev or any other leader has gained or lost control in status or that a leadership shake-up has occurred. The recime probably decided originally to install missiles in Cuba only after much heated discussion and perhaps disagreement; difference of opinion and discreted discussion and perhaps disagreement; difference of opinion and discreted following the Presical Soctober 22 speech and continued the rest of that week. It would be unusual if following such a flasco, there were not even a slight change in the leadership arrangement in the not too distant future.

## Original Decision More Determinative

for or against the original decision to install the missiles will probfor or against the original decision to install the missiles will probamine the extent of repercussions in the leadership. Disagreement
lockiar 22 is likely to have been on tactical points of how best to exhe USSR from the aftuation with the least political and military loss,
more such disarray would not be as significant in its effect on the status of
losters as their original positions. It is possible that there was considerable
mity mong Soviet leaders on the need for a pull-back, including those who miscalculated that the US response would be.

## Khrushchev's Position Possibly Strengthened

Le Khrushchev was the original instigator of the Cuban missile venture, he could now find himself much more vulnerable to controversy with and criticism of colleagues and certainly would have suffered some loss of authority in colleagues. It is more likely, however, given his past proclivity for packing. It is more likely, however, given his past proclivity for

E Q. 11652, SEC. 3(E), S(E) AND 11

BY MEE NARS, DATE 5-5-7

Any show had reservations about the operation but in the end went along with livened, of course, was spokesman for the regime. Moreover, faced with the charp and perhaps unexpectedly quick reaction from the US, Khrushchev was probably one of, if not the first, to suggest a pull-back and call attention to the miscalculation. The letters to the President have the stamp of Khrushchev's personal participation and concern. If these assumptions are correct, in ashchev's authority in the leadership is probably somewhat enhanced at the present time. The dispatch of Mikoyan to Cuba would further suggest — because of their past identity of view — that Khrushchev has not suffered a loss of face in the leadership.

### Role of the Military

The Soviet military undoubtedly played a significant advisory role in the decision to withdraw the missile sites from Cuba, but there is nothing to suggest that they have brought extraordinary pressure to bear on the Soviet leader-sing and now are controlling Soviet policy-making in the aftermath of the crisis. The Soviet military exercise their influence primarily through political leaders, are completely united themselves on policy, and tend to be cautious in certain instances when their interests are involved. The opportunity to redress an unitary sand they probably advocated it as long as the venture stopped short completely probably advocated it as long as the venture stopped short mything touching off hostilities. The confrontation by a strong US reaction an issue of strength and purpose, especially at a time when the missile sites are not completed, probably resulted in an immediate shift of position by the relitary and pressure on the leadership to withdraw rapidly.

#### Effect on Population

The average citizen will be considerably relieved by the outcome of the Cuban crisis, and Khrushchev will probably enjoy an increase in public acclaim. Many Soviet citizens, however, were undoubtedly astounded by the revelations of October 27 and 28 on the existence of "grim" weapons in Cuba, placed there and controlled by their government. There will be, moreover, considerable bead-shaking among intellectuals who read the press more carefully for nuances of folicy in Soviet propaganda, and the regime in general will have to endeavor counters a somewhat tarmished reputation. The Voroshilov article in Pravda (Covember 3 is undoubtedly partly motivated by a desire to resuscitate faith

The degree of enthusiasm over the avoidance of war and the loss of faith in the regime, however, are difficult to measure. The normal citizen may not have been aware of how serious this situation was, compared to previous crises.

OFORM

e has to some extent become immune to propaganda build-ups, and this one commed rapidly and then had to be manipulated in a sudden shift of line. The demonstrations before the US Embassy certainly were milder than in the last, but this fast was due primarily to the regime's reluctance to encourage much activity after its experience in 1961 and earlier.